Incentives and Health Promotion
For a comprehensive review of research showing that rewards in general tend to diminish intrinsic interest as well as quality of performance, please see Punished by Rewards.

The two specific issues on which most research in the field of health promotion has been conducted are effects on smoking cessation and weight loss.

**Incentives and Health Promotion:**

What Do the Data Really Say?

**Research reviews**


   Reviewed all available research from 1960s through early 1990s.

   - Most studies found to be of very poor quality: of 38, only 8 had "an appropriate comparison group which allowed separation of the effects of incentives and competition from other program elements."
   - Of 8 studies with an appropriate comparison group, only 3 even found participation in the program as a result of incentives. And "the research did not show incentives & competition enhancing long-term quit rates past 6 months."

2. Pat Redmond et al., *Can Incentives for Healthy Behavior Improve Health and Hold Down Medicaid Costs?,* Center on Budget & Policy Priorities, June 2007:

   "Published research does not support the idea that financial incentives are effective at getting people to stop smoking. Although financial rewards may prompt people to use self-help materials or even to quit for a short time, no research has shown that financial rewards produce improvement in the number of people who succeed in quitting smoking entirely."


   - Looked for all rigorous controlled studies and found 17.
   - "None of the studies demonstrated significantly higher quit rates for the incentives group than for the control group beyond the six-month assessment... Smokers may quit while they take part in a competition or receive rewards for quitting, but do no better than unassisted quitters once the rewards stop."

Press accounts of a Philadelphia Veterans Administration study (Kevin G. Volpp et al., *"A Randomized Controlled Trial of Financial Incentives for Smoking Cessation," New England Journal of Medicine, February 12, 2009, vol. 360: 699-709) claimed that a positive effect from incentives had finally been found. But a careful reading of the study itself reveals:

- the study didn't evaluate any non-incentive interventions. Participants either received an incentive for quitting or were in the control group and received no help at all;
- the "primary endpoint" for judging the effect was at 12 months even though rewards were still being paid at that point. (What matters is how people fare after the rewards have stopped);
- at 15 or 18 months, the quit rate for those receiving incentives was greater than for those in the control group but was still extremely low in absolute terms: below 10 percent;
- for those in the incentive group who did manage to quit after 9 or 12 months, about one out of three subjects started smoking again once another 6 months had passed. That relapse rate was actually higher than for those in the control group.

**Better studies**

What about studies that offered various types of intervention to help people quit so that the effect of an incentive could be evaluated in conjunction with these cessation programs?


   - A very large study at three worksites that featured a multicomponent program (self-help package + small-group support + monthly phone counseling) - with and without incentives. These incentives were "much larger and were provided over a longer period than in other controlled studies." Also evaluated: a contest between groups to see which had the best quit rate. Effects were evaluated at 12 months.

   - Results: (a) no significant benefit from the incentive; (b) anecdotal, the contest was particularly counterproductive; and (c) in discussing what did seem to help, "counselors emphasized self-control and confidence building so that participants did not attribute their cessation to external factors such as incentives" – meaning that an effort had to be made to try to counteract the negative effects of using rewards.


   - Random assignment to four conditions featuring various combinations of different interventions: a self-help manual; skill training and "social enhancement"; and an incentive. Effects were evaluated at 12 months.

   - Results: the incentive not only didn't help but reduced the effectiveness of other strategies.


   - 4 conditions: personalized feedback (highlighting intrinsic reasons for quitting based on people's questionnaire responses), an incentive, both, and neither (control group).

   - Results: incentive recipients were more likely to return the first progress report, but had worse long-term results than those who got the feedback with no incentive – and also did worse than those in the control group. Incentive recipients had higher relapse rates than those in the feedback control group and were twice as likely to lie about quitting.

**WEIGHT LOSS**

**Research review**


Despite an obvious pro-incentive bias (the stated purpose of the report being to advise companies on how, whether to design incentive plans), the authors conclude with apparent reluctance that rewards at best can increase participation rates in a program and boost short-term compliance, but the evidence finds "no lasting effect on weight loss or smoking cessation."

**Early studies**


   - Very small study. Subjects weighed twice a week. Only two conditions: incentive and control group.

   - Incentive recipients made more progress at the beginning, but after incentives stopped, control subjects lost an average of 3.5 lbs, while incentive subjects gained 6.1 lbs.


   - At 12-month follow-up: "The principal hypothesis, that subjects entering into financial contracts for attending skills training sessions or for maintaining posttreatment weight would show better maintenance one year after successful weight loss than subjects receiving no maintenance support, was not confirmed."

   - The only significant difference: many incentive recipients failed to show up for the final weigh-in.

**Most recent study**

A report from the same Philadelphia V.A. study mentioned above (Kevin G. Volpp et al., *"Financial Incentive-Based Approaches for Weight Loss," Journal of the American Medical Association, December 10, 2008, vol. 300: 2631-37) was, like the smoking cessation study, widely described as having demonstrated the effectiveness of incentives. However:

- the study was very small (only 19 people in each condition, almost all of whom were men) and, again, did not evaluate any non-incentive interventions;
- subjects received only incentives or nothing;
- only subjects in the reward condition were weighed daily, so any positive effect that might have been found could well be from the motivation of the expected weighing rather than from the reward;
- news accounts mentioned early results favoring those who received incentives but failed to mention the bottom line: at the final follow-up, incentives provided no significant benefit.